

Simplicity of the automorphism groups of countable structures

Aleksandra Kwiatkowska

joint work with Filippo Calderoni and Katrin Tent

March 18, 2021

Automorphism groups of countable structures

Let G be a Polish group.

Proposition

The following conditions are equivalent:

- 1 G is a closed subgroup of $S_\infty = \text{Sym}(X)$ – topological group of all bijections of a countable set X , equipped with the pointwise convergence topology;
- 2 G has a neighbourhood basis of the identity that consists of open subgroups;
- 3 G is an automorphism group of a countable first-order structure;
- 4 G is an automorphism group of a countable homogeneous relational first-order structure.

Definition

A countable first-order structure \mathbf{M} is **homogeneous** if every isomorphism between **finite** substructures of \mathbf{M} can be extended to an automorphism of the whole \mathbf{M} .

Homogeneous structures

Definition

A countable first-order structure \mathbf{M} is **homogeneous** if every isomorphism between finite substructures of \mathbf{M} can be extended to an automorphism of the whole \mathbf{M} .

Example

- rationals with the ordering
- the random graph
- the random poset
- the rational Urysohn metric space

How do we obtain countable homogeneous structures?

A countable family \mathcal{F} of finite structures is a **Fraïssé family** if:

- 1 (F1) (hereditary property: HP) if $A \in \mathcal{F}$ and $B \subseteq A$ then $B \in \mathcal{F}$;
- 2 (F2) (joint embedding property: JEP) for any $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ there is $C \in \mathcal{F}$ and embeddings from A to C and from B to C ;
- 3 (F3) (amalgamation property: AP) for $A, B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ and embeddings $\varphi_1: A \rightarrow B_1$ and $\varphi_2: A \rightarrow B_2$, there exist C , and embeddings $\psi_1: B_1 \rightarrow C$ and $\psi_2: B_2 \rightarrow C$ such that $\psi_1 \circ \varphi_1 = \psi_2 \circ \varphi_2$.

Theorem (Fraïssé)

*For every Fraïssé family \mathcal{F} there is a unique countable homogeneous structure \mathbf{M} (called **Fraïssé limit**), such that the set of finite substructures of \mathbf{M} is equal to \mathcal{F} .*

Example

- \mathcal{F} = the family of finite linear orders
Fraïssé limit = rationals with the ordering
- \mathcal{F} = the family of finite graphs
Fraïssé limit = the random graph
- \mathcal{F} = the family of finite metric spaces with rational distances
Fraïssé limit = the rational Urysohn metric space

Theorem (Higman, 1955)

The following is the complete list of proper normal subgroups of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q})$:

- 1 $H_1 = \{f : \exists_a f \upharpoonright (-\infty, a) = \text{Id}\},$
- 2 $H_2 = \{f : \exists_b f \upharpoonright (b, \infty) = \text{Id}\},$
- 3 $H_1 \cap H_2.$

Theorem (Glass-McCleary-Rubin, 1993)

The automorphism group of the random poset is simple.

Some history 2

Theorem (Glass-McCleary-Rubin, 1993)

The automorphism group of the random poset is simple.

Theorem (Macpherson-Tent, 2011)

Let \mathbf{M} be the Fraïssé limit of a free, transitive and nontrivial amalgamation class, or a random tournament. Then the automorphism group of \mathbf{M} is simple.

Theorem (Tent - Ziegler, 2012, 2013)

Let \mathbf{M} be the Fraïssé limit of a free, transitive and nontrivial amalgamation class, or the bounded countable Urysohn space. Then the automorphism group of \mathbf{M} is simple.

To prove their theorems, Tent-Ziegler introduced a stationary independence relation.

Free fusion of Fraïssé families

Let \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 be Fraïssé classes. Let \mathcal{F}_i consist of L_i -structures. Suppose $L_1 \cap L_2 = \emptyset$.

Definition

The free fusion of \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 is

$$\mathcal{F}_1 * \mathcal{F}_2 = \{A: A \text{ is an } L_1 \cup L_2\text{-structure } A \upharpoonright L_i \in \mathcal{F}_i, i = 1, 2\}.$$

Order and Tournament Expansions

Let \mathcal{F} be a Fraïssé class.

Definition (Order Expansion)

It is $\mathcal{F} * LO$, where LO is the Fraïssé class of finite linear orders.

Order and Tournament Expansions

Let \mathcal{F} be a Fraïssé class.

Definition (Order Expansion)

It is $\mathcal{F} * LO$, where LO is the Fraïssé class of finite linear orders.

Definition (Tournament Expansion)

It is $\mathcal{F} * \mathcal{T}$, where \mathcal{T} is the Fraïssé class of finite tournaments.

Theorem

Assume that \mathbf{M} is one of the following:

- 1 the Fraïssé limit of a free, transitive and nontrivial amalgamation class, or
- 2 the bounded countable Urysohn space.
- 3 the random poset.

If \mathbf{M}^* is an order expansion of \mathbf{M} , then $G := \text{Aut}(\mathbf{M}^*)$ is simple.
The same holds if \mathbf{M}^* is a tournament expansion of (1) or (2).

Stationary Independence Relation

Definition

Let \mathbf{M} be a countable structure with universe M and let \perp be a ternary relation between finite subset of M . We say that \perp is a **stationary independence relation** on \mathbf{M} if for all finite sets $A, B, C, D \subseteq M$ the following hold:

- (Invariance) Whether A and B are independent over C depends only on the type of ABC .
- (Monotonicity) $A \perp_B CD$ implies that $A \perp_B C$ and $A \perp_{BC} D$.
- (Transitivity)

$$A \perp_B C \text{ and } A \perp_{BC} D \text{ implies } A \perp_B D.$$

Stationary Independence Relation

Definition (Continuation of the definition)

- (Symmetry) $A \perp_B C$ if and only if $C \perp_B A$.
- (Existence) If p is a type over B and C is a finite set, there is some a realizing p such that $a \perp_B C$.
- (Stationarity) If the tuples x and y have the same type over B and are both independent from C over B , then x and y have the same type over BC .

Example (Free amalgamation classes)

We put $A \downarrow_B C$ if and only if ABC is isomorphic to the free amalgam of A and C over B , i.e. if and only if $A \cap C = B$ and for every n -ary relation R in L , if d_1, \dots, d_n is an n -tuple in $A \cup B \cup C$ with some $d_i \in A \setminus B$ and $d_j \in C \setminus B$, then $R(d_1, \dots, d_n)$ does not hold.

Example (Free amalgamation classes)

We put $A \downarrow_B C$ if and only if ABC is isomorphic to the free amalgam of A and C over B , i.e. if and only if $A \cap C = B$ and for every n -ary relation R in L , if d_1, \dots, d_n is an n -tuple in $A \cup B \cup C$ with some $d_i \in A \setminus B$ and $d_j \in C \setminus B$, then $R(d_1, \dots, d_n)$ does not hold.

Example (Metric spaces)

We put $A \downarrow_C B$ if and only if for all $a \in A, b \in B$ there is some $c \in C$ such that $d(a, b) = d(a, c) + d(c, b)$, and $A \downarrow B$ if and only if for all $a \in A, b \in B$ the distance $d(a, b)$ is maximal.

Definition (Order-homogeneity)

If $\mathbf{M}_<$ is an order expansion of \mathbf{M} , then $g \in G$ is $<$ -homogeneous if and only if g is unboundedly increasing or unboundedly decreasing.

Order-homogeneity and Tournament-homogeneity

Definition (Order-homogeneity)

If $\mathbf{M}_{<}$ is an order expansion of \mathbf{M} , then $g \in G$ is $<$ -homogeneous if and only if g is unboundedly increasing or unboundedly decreasing.

Definition (Tournament-homogeneity)

If \mathbf{M}_{\rightarrow} is a tournament expansion of \mathbf{M} , then $g \in G$ is \rightarrow -homogeneous if and only if $a \rightarrow g(a)$ for all $a \in M$ or $g(a) \rightarrow a$ for all $a \in M$.

Definition

$A \downarrow_{B;C} D$ means $AB \downarrow_C D$ and $A \downarrow_B CD$

Definition

Let \mathbf{M}^* be the Fraïssé limit of $\mathcal{F} * LO$ or $\mathcal{F} * \mathcal{T}$, where \mathcal{F} carries a stationary independence relation and let $G = \text{Aut}(\mathbf{M}^*)$. We say that $g \in G$ **moves maximally** if

- 1 g is \leftarrow -homogeneous (respectively, \rightarrow -homogeneous); and
- 2 every type over a finite set X has a realization a such that

$$a \downarrow_{X; g(X)} g(a).$$

Theorem

Let \mathbf{M}^ be the Fraïssé limit of $\mathcal{F} * LO$ or $\mathcal{F} * \mathcal{T}$, where \mathcal{F} carries a stationary independence relation. If $g \in G$ moves maximally, then any element of G is the product of at most eight conjugates of g and g^{-1} .*

Proposition

If \mathbf{M}^ is a tournament expansion of a homogeneous L_1 -structure \mathbf{M}_1 carrying a stationary independence relation, any $g \in G = \text{Aut}(\mathbf{M}^*)$ that moves maximally is compatible.*

If \mathbf{M}^ is an order expansion of a homogeneous L_1 -structure \mathbf{M}_1 carrying a stationary independence relation, any $g \in G = \text{Aut}(\mathbf{M}^*)$ that moves maximally is compatible.*

Proposition

If \mathbf{M}^ is a tournament expansion of a homogeneous L_1 -structure \mathbf{M}_1 carrying a stationary independence relation, any $g \in G = \text{Aut}(\mathbf{M}^*)$ that moves maximally is compatible.*

If \mathbf{M}^ is an order expansion of a homogeneous L_1 -structure \mathbf{M}_1 carrying a stationary independence relation, any $g \in G = \text{Aut}(\mathbf{M}^*)$ that moves maximally is compatible.*

- In particular, every finite set X_0 has a finite extension X depending only on X_0 and g , which is **full** for g , i.e. the following holds: for $Y = g(X)$ and all tuples x, y such that $g(\text{tp}(x/X)) = \text{tp}(y/Y)$, $\text{tp}_{L_2}(y^i/x^i) = \text{tp}_{L_2}(g(x^i)/x^i)$ and $x \perp_{X;Y} y$ there is some $a \in \text{Fix}(XY)$ such that $g^a(x) = y$

Proposition

Let $g_1, \dots, g_4 \in G$ move maximally, and assume that g_2 is conjugate to g_3^{-1} . Then, for any open non-empty set $U \subseteq G^4$, there is some open non-empty set $W \subseteq G$ such that the image $\phi(U)$ under the map

$$\phi: G^4 \rightarrow G: (h_1, \dots, h_4) \mapsto g_4^{h_4} g_3^{h_3} g_2^{h_2} g_1^{h_1}.$$

is dense in W .

Theorem

If \mathbf{M}^ is an order or tournament expansion of a structure \mathbf{M} as in the Main Theorem and $h \in G = \text{Aut}(\mathbf{M}^*)$, $h \neq \text{Id}$, then there is some $g \in \langle h \rangle^G$ that moves maximally.*

We construct an unboundedly increasing automorphism $g \in \langle h \rangle^G$ moving maximally starting from an arbitrary $h \in G$. This is done in four steps.

- 1 construct a fixed point free $h_1 = [h, f_1] \in \langle h \rangle^G$;
- 2 construct a strictly increasing $h_2 = [h_1, f_2] \in \langle h_1 \rangle^G$;
- 3 construct an unboundedly increasing $h_3 \in \langle h_2 \rangle^G$;
- 4 construct an unboundedly increasing $g = [h_3, f_3] \in \langle h_3 \rangle^G$ moving maximally.

Definition

A Polish group G has the automatic continuity property if for every Polish group H every abstract homomorphism $\phi: G \rightarrow H$ is continuous.

Definition

A Polish group G has the automatic continuity property if for every Polish group H every abstract homomorphism $\phi: G \rightarrow H$ is continuous.

Question

- *Do automorphisms groups of structures studied above have the automatic continuity property?*
- *In particular, does the automorphism group of the linearly ordered random graph have the automatic continuity property?*